

# ADMISSIBILITY OF CHILD STATEMENTS TFC 51.095

JAMIE REYNA
FORT BEND COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
JUVENILE DIVISION CHIEF

# DISCLAIMER

- ► This project is supported by Grant #2019-MU-MU-K002 awarded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.
- ► The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Justice.

#### **PRIORITIES**

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice

- ▶ Treating Children as Children
- Serve Children at Home, with their Families, In their Communities
- Open up Opportunities for Young People Involved in the Justice System

# Applicability (TFC 51.02)

### "Child" at the time of questioning is:

- ► Age 10-16, or
- ► Age 17, but offense committed when 10-16 years old, or
- ▶ Age 18 or older, then CCP Article 38.22 applies
- ► Age UNDETERMINED, safer course is TFC 51.095

# ADMISSIBLE TYPES OF CHILD STATEMENTS

### Pursuant to Sec. TFC 51.095(a):

- (a)(1) Written custodial w/ magistration
- (a)(2) Oral plus physical evidence
- (a)(3) Res Gestae

# ADMISSIBLE TYPES OF CHILD STATEMENTS

- (a)(4) In Court Proceedings
  - In open court at adjudication hearing
  - > Before a Grand Jury
  - At a preliminary hearing regarding the child, but <u>not</u> @ a DH
- (a)(5) Oral (custodial w/ magistration & recorded)

#### STATEMENT MUST BE VOLUNTARY

- Applies whether the child is in custody or not.
- Must show child was not coerced, threatened or promised anything in exchange for statement.
- Must show child capable of understanding his rights.
- Court will look to the totality of the circumstances, including age, intelligence, maturity level & experience in system.
- Reasonable juvenile standard.

# IS IT INTERROGATION?

- ► There must be interrogation for 51.095 protections to kick in:
  - Must be questioning or statements used to elicit an incriminating response
  - ▶ It is not routine questions for booking and processing or routine custodial matters

# CUSTODY REQUIREMENT

#### TFC 51.095(d) defines "custody" for 51.095 purposes:

- While the child is in a detention facility or other place of confinement;
- While the child is in the custody of an officer;
- During or after the interrogation of the child by an officer if the child is in possession of DFPS and is suspected to have engaged in a penal law violation

# CUSTODIAL VS NON-CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION

- Important to determine if child in custody
- ▶ If NOT in custody, then TFC 51.095 does NOT apply per 51.095(b)(1)
  - No magistrate warnings needed
  - No parental notification needed
  - ► No requirement to go to a Juvenile Processing Office

# CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION?

- If child is in custody then 51.095 rules apply.
- Whether child is in custody will be evaluated on its own facts & the courts will look to totality of the circumstances.
- Factors considered in determining if there is custody:
  - Probable cause to arrest
  - Subjective intent of the police
  - Focus of the investigation
  - Subjective belief of the child (age is a factor)

### CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION?

- ▶ Being the focus of the investigation does not automatically mean custody.
- It is OK to interview a child at the police station and it to be NON custodial.
- Usually child is not in custody when the officer informs the child he is not in custody, is free to leave at any time and is allowed to leave.

# LOCATION

- For custodial statements, 51.095(a)(1) & (5):
  - ►The child has to be taken before a Magistrate\* (as defined by CCP 2.09 – any judge, including a JP & municipal court judge)
  - MUST be in an approved Juvenile Processing Office (JPO) or the detention facility

# TIME (TFC 52.025(d))

Time is of the essence, because the law limits the time frame from the time a child is detained until he/she has to be referred (booked) in at the detention center.

6 HOURS!!

# PROCEDURE FOR LEO

- Child is detained (arrested) at scene/location Tell LEO to document time to the minute.
- ► Contact Justice of the Peace/Magistrate for (closest) location of interview/interrogation.
- Transport child to that location (only approved JPO locations).
- Send child in with the Judge/Magistrate to complete Part 1.

# PROCEDURE FOR LEO

- ► The Judge/Magistrate will inform LEO if child is willing to provide a statement.
- ▶ If child declines, then take child directly to JDC for immediate processing, "without unnecessary delay" (TFC 52.02(a)). REQUIRES STRICT COMPLIANCE!
- ▶ If child waives rights and agrees to provide a statement, then proceed to Part 2.
- ► LEO may read the form to the child and write OR record the statement. [RECORDED STATEMENT is preferred.]

# PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS

- Must be @ JPO or JDC
- NO LEO or prosecutor allowed to be present during warnings
  - ► Safety exception, but NO WEAPON
- Magistrate MUST be fully convinced that child understands the nature and content of the statement
  - Voluntariness
  - ▶ Totality of circumstances
- Brought before the magistrate TWO TIMES (written statement)
  - ► BEFORE PART I
  - ► AFTER PART III (Verification), (optional for oral statement)

#### WRITTEN STATEMENT

Waiver must be "knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily" done Rights read and explained
Not required to inform of punishment

#### PART I MAGISTRATE'S JUVENILE WARNIN

STATE OF FORT BEN			Y										
On	this th	e	day of					,20_	, a	·	_o'cl	ock a.m.	√p.r
personally:	ppeare	d befo	ore me,							,as	nale/fe	emale ch	ild
							(lo	cation)	in Fo	t Bend	Count	ty, Texa	s. Th
followingr	ights an	ıd wa	mings wer	e read	and expla	ined	to the	child p	rior to	making	a state	ement:	
You	ı are	in	custody	and	charged	by	law	enforc	ement	with	the	offens	e (
				whic	hisa					specify	degree	of misder	meen
r felony, o	rother												
[Juvenile is	iitials 6	ach.,	7										
1	Yo	u ma	v remain si	lent ar	d not mak	e anv	staten	nent at a	ll and a	nv state	ement	that vou	mak
			used in evi										
2	Yo	u hav	ve the right	to have	an attorne	evpre	sentto	advise 1	vou eith	er prio	r to any	ouestion	ning
			my questio			.,						4	
3	Ifv	011.3	re unable to	emnl	ov an attor	nev t	on ha	ue the ri	ght to h	ave an	attoma	v amnoi	nted :
	COL	ınsel	with you be										
	the	state	e;										
4	Yo	u hav	ve the right	to ten	minate the	inter	view:	at any ti	me;				
			tementeith law:	er writ	ten or oral	giver	ı prior	to these	wami	ng may	be ina	dmissibl	e in
	COL	in or	law.										
I ha	ve liste	ned c	arefully to a	indun	derstood ea	ch of	the ab	ove righ	its as th	ey were	eread a	nd expla	ined
me. I have a	sked th	e Ma	gistrate any	questi	ons that I :	may h	ave reg	garding t	these ri	ghts. I :	fullyu	destand	all n
rights as the	y have	been	explained	to me	and I vol	untar	ily wi	sh to w	aive th	em.			
Answer "ye	s" or "	no"			Sig	natur	e of Ju	ivenile					
Date Signed					Tin	ne Sis	ned						
and original	•												
Besterd 1/2020													

#### WRITTEN STATEMENT

Certification MUST be in writing

Statement MUST be signed in the presence of the magistrate alone

JUV	ENILE STATEM	ENT (CONTINUED)	
		I	
	END OF ST	ATEMENT	
		his statement and I do hereby sign it knowingly and 	
My statement contains	page(s), or	electronic recording(s).	
ignature of Juvenile	Date	Signature of Magistrate	
		Printed Name of Magistrate	
		Date	
		Time	

### ORAL STATEMENTS

- ▶ If NON-CUSTODIAL, Magistrate NOT required
- CUSTODIAL TEXAS FAMILY CODE 51.095(a)(5), (c) and (f)
- PROCEDURE
  - Warnings REQUIRED to be on the recording. [Send recording device in w/ the Judge/Magistrate.]
  - ► Admissibility predicate
  - ► RETURN CHILD & RECORDING TO MAGISTRATE FOR EXAMINATION to determine voluntariness, if requested by magistrate.

# PROCEDURAL INVOCATIONS

- ► REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY
  - ► STOP QUESTIONING
  - ▶ Err in favor of the child's attempted request
- ► REQUEST FOR PARENT
  - ► No requirement of parental presence, but NOTE (TFC 52.02(b)) & 52.025(c)
  - ▶ DETERMINE if request is an invocation

# PARENTAL PRESENCE

- ▶ LEO must make reasonable attempts to promptly notify parents or guardian of the custody and the reason for the custody. TFC 52.02(b)
- ► Failure to comply may result in exclusion of any statement obtained during custodial interrogation
- ▶ BUT if no notification made and child confesses, child must show a causal connection between the failure to notify and the giving of the statement

# PARENTAL PRESENCE

- No requirement of parental presence when taking statement under 51.095
- ▶ But if child being questioned in a JPO under 51.095 (as required when detained), see 52.025(c)
- Child may not be left unattended in a JPO & is entitled to be accompanied by a parent/guardian/custodian/attorney. 52.025(c)
- BUT, child must show same causal connection

# ORAL STATEMENTS 51.095(a)(2)

- Statute does not state must be recorded
- They are admissible if <u>voluntary</u> and the following exist:
  - ► Child makes statement of facts or circumstances that are found to be true and which tend to establish the guilt of the child (such as finding the stolen property or the murder weapon)

# Voluntary Statement of Juvenile Not in Custody

- Preferred parental/guardian/custodian presence
- Preferred non LE agency location
- If at the PD, preferred non-LE transport
- Preferred recorded statement, but may be written
- School is not inherently "custodial"
- Consider: Age, grade level, previous contacts with LE, seriousness of the offense
- Consider using a JNIC form

#### STATEMENT TAKEN BY FEDERAL LEO

- ▶ 51.095(b)(2)(B)(ii) Recorded statement of child IS admissible if obtained by a federal LEO in TX or another state in compliance w/ the laws of the United States
  - ► This is WITHOUT regard to whether the statement stems from interrogation

#### STATEMENT TAKEN IN ANOTHER STATE

- ▶ 51.095(b)(2)(B)(i) Recorded statement of child IS admissible if obtained in another state in compliance with the laws of that state or Texas
  - ► This is WITHOUT regard to whether the statement stems from interrogation
  - ▶ Tip for LEO: not required to take the laws of TX w/ them when they investigate child offenders who have fled to another state, but they should consult w/ local LE regarding that state law & the proper method to take a voluntary statement of a child in that jurisdiction

- States where legislatures have banned use of deception during child interrogations since 2021(according to ABA):
  - ► California, Delaware, Illinois, Oregon, Utah
  - ▶ Indiana enacted statute eff. 7/1/23 for statements made where materially false info provided, but not to evidence discovered as result of statement
- States where legislatures recently considered or are considering same:
  - Colorado, Massachusetts, Nebraska, Rhode Island

- States where legislatures require parental or attorney presence or consultation during custodial interrogation (CI):
  - ▶ California youth 17 & under must consult w/ legal counsel prior to
  - Connecticut any statement made by youth <16 to LEO or Juvenile Court official not admissible unless made in presence of parent/ guardian & after both have been advised of rights
  - ▶ Washington requires legal counsel for 17 & under prior to
  - Colorado not admissible unless parent/guardian present & advised of rights or attorney is present

- States where legislatures require parental or attorney presence or consultation during custodial interrogation (CI) cont'd:
  - Indiana juvenile's rights may be waived only by counsel or custodial parent & only if juvenile joins in waiver
  - ▶ lowa <16 can't waive right to counsel w/o written consent of parent, 16-17 requires good faith effort to notify
  - Oklahoma requires warnings be given both to child and parent/custodian

- States where legislatures require parental or attorney presence or consultation during custodial interrogation (CI) cont'd:
  - ► Montana child <16 & parent may waive child's rights, but if can't agree, child may waive only w/ advice from attorney
  - North Carolina <16, statement not admissible unless made in presence of a parent/legal custodian/attorney
  - New Mexico − NO statement by child <13 is admissible, 13-14 presumed to be inadmissible but presumption may be rebutted

- States where the courts have held that parental or attorney presence or consultation is required during custodial interrogation (CI):
  - ▶ Vermont (In re E.T.C. (1982)) for child to voluntarily & intelligently waive his right to have atty present, must be given opportunity to consult w/ an adult. The adult must be one who is genuinely interested in child's welfare & completely independent from the prosecution & must be informed of the rights.
  - ► Kansas (Matter of B.M.B. (1998)); Massachusetts (Com. v. Smith (2015));
  - Missouri must be allowed to confer w/ a friendly adult

# CONTACT INFORMATION

Jamie Reyna
Fort Bend County DA's Office
Juvenile Division Chief
281-341-4461 (Office)
832-449-0347 (Work cell)